

European Patent Office 80298 MUNICH GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399 - 0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399 - 4465

2

Formelities Officer

Name: Smyth Tel.: 6947 or call:

 $/\gamma$

26-08-2008

Tiefe

+31 (0)70 340 45 00

TER MEER - STEINMEISTER & PARTNER GBR
Patentanwälte
Mauerkircherstrasse 45
81679 München
ALLEMAGNE

EINGEGANG
TER MEER STEINMEISTER



Reference OPP-AZ-2006-002

Application No JPatent No. 06022437.5 - 2314 / 1746196

Applicant/Proprietor

LG ELECTRONICS INC.

Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC

The examination of the above identified application has revealed that it does not meet the requirements of the European Patent Convention for the reasons already stated in the European search opinion. If the deficiencies indicated are not rectified the application may be refused pursuant to Article 97(2) EPO.

You are invited to file your observations and insofar as the deficiencies are such as to be rectifiable, to correct the indicated deficiencies within a period

of four months

from the notification of this communication, this period being computed in accordance with Rules 126(2) and 131(2) and (4) EPC.

One set of amendments to the description, claims and drawings is to be filed within the said period on separate sheets (R. 50(1) EPC).

Failure to comply with this invitation in due time will result in the application being deemed to be withdrawn (Art. 94(4) EPC).

Primary Examiner for the Examining Division





European Patent Office 80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399 - 0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399 - 4465

er



TER MEER - STEINMEISTER & PARTNER GbR Patentanwälte
Mauerkircherstrasse 45
81679 München Fini GEGANI

EINGEGANGEN TEH WEEK TO THE TOTAL STATES For any questions about this communication: Tel.:+31 (0)70 340 45 00

Date		
	02.01.08	

Reference OPP-AZ-2006-002

Application No./Patent No. 06022437.5 - 2314

Applicant/Proprietor

ALLEMAGNE

LG ELECTRONICS INC.

Communication

The extended European search report is enclosed.

The extended European search report includes, pursuant to Rule 62 EPC, the European search report (R. 61 EPC) or the partial European search report/ declaration of no search (R. 63 EPC) and the European search opinion.

Copies of documents cited in the European search report are attached.

2 additional set(s) of copies of such documents is (are) enclosed as well.

The following have been approved:

☑ Title

The Abstract was modified and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract: 3

Refund of the search fee

If applicable under Article 9 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.





EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04001) 4

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 06 02 2437

	DOCUMENTS CONSIDER	ED TO BE RELEVANT]
Category	Citation of document with indica of relevant passages	itlon, where appropriate,	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JA vol. 2003, no. 05, 12 May 2003 (2003-05-1 -& JP 2003 019382 A (M CORP), 21 January 2003 * abstract *	APAN .2) HITSUBISHI ELECTRIC	1-26	INV. D06F39/08 D06F35/00 D06F39/04
A	GB 1 222 227 A (POENSG 10 February 1971 (1971 * the whole document *	-02-10)	1-26	
A	GB 2 348 213 A (NORTH 27 September 2000 (200 * the whole document *	0-09-27)	1-26	,
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JA vol. 016, no. 449 (C-0 18 September 1992 (199 -& JP 04 158896 A (SAN 1 June 1992 (1992-06-0 * abstract *	986), 2-09-18) YO ELECTRIC CO LTD),	1-26	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
А	GB 799 788 A (ERICH SU 13 August 1958 (1958-0 * the whole document *	8-13)	1-26	SEARCHED (IPC)
A	and whole documents		5-12,15	
А	DE 44 31 654 A (MIELE 7 March 1996 (1996-03- * the whole document *	& CIE) 07)	1-26	
Х	GB 21286 A A.D. 1897 (DUNSFORD [US]) 6 Augus * the whole document *	 JENKINS CHARLES t 1898 (1898-08-06)	1-26	
X	EP 0 816 550 A (ESSWEI 7 January 1998 (1998-0 * the whole document *	N SA [FR]) 1-07)	1-26	
		-/		:
	The present search report has been	drawn up for all claims		
_	Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner
	Munich	6 December 2007	Sp11	tzer, Bettina
X : parti Y : parti docu A : techi O : non-	ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with another ment of the same category sological background written disclosure pediate document	T: theory or principle E: earlier patent doc after the filing date D: document cited in L: document cited fo &: member of the sa document	ument, but publis the application other reasons	shed on, or



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 06 02 2437

		DOCUMENTS CONSID	ERED TO BE RELEVANT		AA
	Category	Citation of document with i	ndication, where appropriate, sages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
ì	X	WO 03/012185 A (NOR 13 February 2003 (2 * the whole documen		1-26	
-,,	Α	GB 835 250 A (JAMES 18 May 1960 (1960-0 * the whole documen		1-26	
۷	Â	US 3 712 089 A (TOT 23 January 1973 (19 * the whole documen	73-01-23)	1-26	
V	Α	GB 2 113 722 A (SEN 10 August 1983 (198 * the whole documen	3-08-10)	1–26	
	χ	WO 97/15709 A (PEET MARI [BE]) 1 May 19 * the whole documen		1–26	
					TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
				_	
ĺ					
	§				
	ļ				
4		The present search report has	been drawn up for all claims	-	
Γ		Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner
8		Munich	6 December 2007	Sp11	tzer, Bettina
EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)	X : parti Y : parti docu A : tech O : non-	ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with anot ment of the same category nological background -written disclosure mediate document	E : earlier palent of after the flüng of the flung of the	d in the application 1 for other reasons	shed on, or

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 06 02 2437

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

06-12-2007

cli	Patent document led in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	•	Publication date
JP	2003019382	Α	21-01-2003	NONE			
GB	1222227	A	10-02-1971	BE CH FR NL	724654 482051 1591107 6814208	A A	02-05-196 30-11-196 27-04-197 15-01-197
GB	2348213	A	27-09-2000	GB GB GB	2366809 2358641 2358642	Α	20-03-200 01-08-200 01-08-200
JP	04158896	A	01-06-1992	JP	2840428	B2	24-12-199
GB	799788	Α	13-08-1958	DE	1017129	В	10-10-195
DE	4431654	Α	07-03-1996	NONE			
GB	189721286	A	06-08-1898	NONE			
EP	0816550	A	07-01-1998	FR	2750709	A1	09-01-1998
WO	03012185	A	13-02-2003	CN EP GB HK	1556880 1421233 2382821 1071174	A2 A	22-12-200 26-05-200 11-06-200 15-06-200
GB	835250	A	18-05-1960	NONE			**************************************
us	3712089	A	23-01-1973	NONE			
GB	2113722	A	10-08-1983	CH DE FR GB JP JP JP US US	643900 2927874 2461053 2053287 1023158 1540017 56015793 4494265 4422309	A1 A B C A	29-06-198 05-02-198 30-01-198 04-02-198 01-05-198 31-01-199 16-02-198 22-01-198 27-12-198
nu nu	9715709	A	01-05-1997	AU BE	7270996 1009718		15-05-199 01-07-199

The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no. 05, 12 May 2003 (2003-05-12)
 -& JP 2003 019382 A (MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORP), 21 January 2003 (2003-01-21)
- D2: GB-A-1 222 227 (POENSGEN) 10 February 1971 (1971-02-10)
- D3: GB-A-2 348 213 (NORTH JOHN HERBERT) 27 September 2000 (2000-09-27)
- D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 449 (C-0986), 18 September 1992 (1992-09-18) -& JP 04 158896 A (SANYO ELECTRIC CO LTD), 1 June 1992 (1992-06-01)
- D5: GB 799 788 A (ERICH SULZMANN) 13 August 1958 (1958-08-13)
- D6: DE 44 31 654 A (MIELE & CIE) 7 March 1996 (1996-03-07)
- D7: GB 21286 A A.D. 1897 (JENKINS CHARLES DUNSFORD [US]) 6 August 1898 (1898-08-06)
- D8: EP-A-0 816 550 (ESSWEIN SA [FR]) 7 January 1998 (1998-01-07)
- D9: WO 03/012185 A (NORTH JOHN HERBERT [GB]) 13 February 2003 (2003-02-13)
- D10: GB 835 250 A (JAMES ARMSTRONG & CO LTD) 18 May 1960 (1960-05-18)
- D11: US-A-3 712 089 (TOTH A) 23 January 1973 (1973-01-23)
- D12: GB-A-2 113 722 (SENKINGWERK GMBH KG) 10 August 1983 (1983-08-10)
- D13: WO 97/15709 A (PEETERS TOM WALTER HELENA MARI [BE]) 1 May 1997 (1997-05-01)

Art. 84 EPC

1.1 Claims 1 and 20 have been drafted as separate independent claims. However, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and/or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection. Hence, claims and 14 not meet the requirements of Article 84 EPC in combination with Rule 43(2) EPC.

The applicant is requested to file an amended set of claims which complies with Art. 84 EPC and Rule 43(2). Particular embodiments of the invention have to be drafted in dependent claims (see also Rule 43(3) EPC). Failure to do so, or to submit convincing arguments as to why the current set of claims does in fact comply with these provisions, will lead to refusal of the application under Article 97(2) EPC.

- 1.2 Claims 1 and 20 are not clear as the word "in" ("a washing method in a steam injection type washing machine") leaves the reader in doubt whether the protection sought is limited to the method per se, or whether the washing machine, too, is to be protected. For the sake of clarity, claims of this kind should be directed e.g. to "a washing method using a steam injection type washing machine" (see Guidelines C-III 4.15).
- 1.3 The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 21, last paragraph and p. 22 implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity of the claims (Article 84 EPC) when used to interpret them (see the Guidelines, C-III, 4.4). This statement should therefore be amended to remove this inconsistency.
- 2. Claim 1 Art. 54(1) and (2) EPC

The document D13 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

"A washing method in a steam injection type washing machine (p. 1, l. 6, l. 17), comprising:

a water supplying step for supplying wash water into a wash tub (p. 5, I. 3-4); a steam generating step for supplying steam into the wash tub by operation of a steam supply unit (p. 5, I. 26-29); and

a circulating step for circulating wash water of the wash tub into the wash tub (p. 5, I. 31-34)."

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Article 54(1) and (2) EPC). Also documents D7, D8, D9 discloses substantially the same subject-matter and therefore, are also novelty destroying.

Claim 20 - Art. 54(1) and (2) EPC
 The objection under item 2 also holds for claim 20 (see also item 1.1).

- 4. Dependent Claims 2-19, 21-26 Dependent claims 2-19, 21-26 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the EPC with respect to novelty and inventive step, because they are either known from documents D1-D13 or a normal design option.
- 5. To meet the requirements of Rule 42(1)(b) EPC, the document D13 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.
- 6. Independent claims 1 and 14 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 43(1) EPC, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D13) being placed in the preamble (Rule 43(1)(a) EPC) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 43(1)(b) EPC).
- 7. The features of the claims should be provided with reference signs placed in parentheses to increase the intelligibility of the claims (Rule 43(7) EPC). This applies to both the preamble and characterising portion (see the Guidelines, C-III, 4.19).
- 8. It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim. Should the applicant nevertheless regard some particular matter as patentable, an independent claim should be filed taking account of Rule 43(1) EPC. The applicant should also indicate in the letter of reply the difference of the subject-matter of the new claim vis-à-vis the state of the art and the significance thereof.
- Applications EP 04000336.0, EP0400337.8, EP 04008896.5, EP 06022438.3 from the same applicant deal with the same subject-matter. However, several patents shall not be granted to the same applicant for one invention (see Guidelines C-IV 7.4).

Bettina Spitzer.